

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/531,414	LOSFELD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JASON L. SAVAGE	1794	

**All Participants:**

(1) JASON L. SAVAGE.

(2) MATTHEW KREMER.

**Date of Interview:** 19 June 2008

**Status of Application:** Amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 12:00

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 13, 19 and 20*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Morimoto (US 4,729,871), Ishibe (US 6,355,082)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant that the article claims reciting the first porous layer being a non-woven metal fiber fleece was allowable over the prior art but the prior art cited above were considered to meet the claim limitations of method claims 13 and 19-20. Applicant gave the Examiner permission to amend the claims to include the limitation drawn to the first layer being the non-woven metal fiber fleece..